



21 August 2009
[13-09]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

A1031	Date Received: 1 July 2009 Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 22 July 2009 Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 22 July 2009	
Applicant: DSM Nutritional Products via Brook-Taylor and Co.		Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: Amorphous Hydrophobic Silica as a Processing Aid. Brief Description of Application: To approve amorphous hydrophobic silica as a processing aid		Standard 1.3.3 – Processing Aids
Procedure: General Reasons why: An Application relating to a processing aid involving consideration of public health and safety and a food technology assessment of average complexity	Cost Category (General Procedure): Up to 500 hours Reasons why: An Application for a variation for a processing aid that is currently not specifically permitted	Estimated start work: January 2010

DECISION

Application accepted

Date: 11 July 2009

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No

What documents are affected? Pages 23 – 24 (Appendix 2)

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Yes No

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No

Details: N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No

If yes, indicate the reason:

N/A

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:

Section 3.3.2

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes No

What information is not provided? N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No ✓

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

Minor

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

2

Low intensity but potentially broad focus

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 26 August 2009

General Procedure: (if not expedited)

Commence Assessment (clock start)

Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval

Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

Mid-March 2010

Mid-July 2010

Late July–Early September 2010

Early December 2010

Mid-December 2010

Late February 2011